§41.205

(iii) State the earliest corroborated date on which the party's diligence began, and

(iv) Provide a copy of the earliest document upon which the party will rely to show conception.

- (3) If a junior party fails to file a priority statement overcoming a senior party's accorded benefit, judgment shall be entered against the junior party absent a showing of good cause.
- (b) Other substantive motions. The Board may require a party to list the motions it intends to file, including sufficient detail to place the Board and the opponent on notice of the precise relief sought.
- (c) Filing and service. The Board will set the times for filing and serving statements required under this section.

§41.205 Settlement agreements.

- (a) Constructive notice; time for filing. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 135(c), an agreement or understanding, including collateral agreements referred to therein, made in connection with or in contemplation of the termination of an interference must be filed prior to the termination of the interference between the parties to the agreement. After a final decision is entered by the Board, an interference is considered terminated when no appeal (35 U.S.C. 141) or other review (35 U.S.C. 146) has been or can be taken or had. If an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (under 35 U.S.C. 141) or a civil action (under 35 U.S.C. 146) has been filed the interference is considered terminated when the appeal or civil action is terminated. A civil action is terminated when the time to appeal the judgment expires. An appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, whether from a decision of the Board or a judgment in a civil action, is terminated when the mandate is issued by the Court.
- (b) Untimely filing. The Chief Administrative Patent Judge may permit the filing of an agreement under paragraph (a) of this section up to six months after termination upon petition and a showing of good cause for the failure to file prior to termination.
- (c) Request to keep separate. Any party to an agreement under paragraph (a) of this section may request that the

agreement be kept separate from the interference file. The request must be filed with or promptly after the agreement is filed.

(d) Access to agreement. Any person, other than a representative of a Government agency, may have access to an agreement kept separate under paragraph (c) of this section only upon petition and on a showing of good cause. The agreement will be available to Government agencies on written request.

§ 41.206 Common interests in the invention.

An administrative patent judge may decline to declare, or if already declared the Board may issue judgment in, an interference between an application and another application or patent that are commonly owned.

§41.207 Presumptions.

- (a) Priority—(1) Order of invention. Parties are presumed to have invented interfering subject matter in the order of the dates of their accorded benefit for each count. If two parties are accorded the benefit of the same earliest date of constructive reduction to practice, then neither party is entitled to a presumption of priority with respect to the other such party.
- (2) Evidentiary standard. Priority may be proved by a preponderance of the evidence except a party must prove priority by clear and convincing evidence if the date of its earliest constructive reduction to practice is after the issue date of an involved patent or the publication date under 35 U.S.C. 122(b) of an involved application or patent.
- (b) Claim correspondence. (1) For the purposes of determining priority and derivation, all claims of a party corresponding to the count are presumed to stand or fall together. To challenge this presumption, a party must file a timely substantive motion to have a corresponding claim designated as not corresponding to the count. No presumption based on claim correspondence regarding the grouping of claims other exists $_{
 m for}$ grounds unpatentability.
- (2) A claim corresponds to a count if the subject matter of the count, treated as prior art to the claim, would have

anticipated or rendered obvious the subject matter of the claim.

(c) Cross-applicability of prior art. When a motion for judgment of unpatentability against an opponent's claim on the basis of prior art is granted, each of the movant's claims corresponding to the same count as the opponent's claim will be presumed to be unpatentable in view of the same prior art unless the movant in its motion rebuts this presumption.

§ 41.208 Content of substantive and responsive motions.

The general requirements for motions in contested cases are stated at §41.121(c).

- (a) In an interference, substantive motions must:
 - (1) Raise a threshold issue,
- (2) Seek to change the scope of the definition of the interfering subject matter or the correspondence of claims to the count.
- (3) Seek to change the benefit accorded for the count, or
- (4) Seek judgment on derivation or on priority.
- (b) To be sufficient, a motion must provide a showing, supported with appropriate evidence, such that, if unrebutted, it would justify the relief sought. The burden of proof is on the movant.
- (c) Showing patentability. (1) A party moving to add or amend a claim must show the claim is patentable.
- (2) A party moving to add or amend a count must show the count is patentable over prior art.

PART 42—TRIAL PRACTICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Subpart A—Trial Practice and Procedure

GENERAL

Sec.

- 42.1 Policy.
- 42.2 Definitions.
- 42.3 Jurisdiction.
- 42.4 Notice of trial.
- 42.5 Conduct of the proceeding.
- 42.6 Filing of documents, including exhibits; service.
- 42.7 Management of the record.
- 42.8 Mandatory notices.
- 42.9 Action by patent owner.

- 42.10 Counsel
- 42.11 Duty of candor.
- 42.12 Sanctions.
- 42.13 Citation of authority.
- 42.14 Public availability.

FEES

42.15 Fees.

PETITION AND MOTION PRACTICE

- 42.20 Generally.
- 42.21 Notice of basis for relief.
- 42.22 Content of petitions and motions.
- 42.23 Oppositions and replies.
- 42.24 Page limits for petitions, motions, oppositions and replies.
- 42.25 Default filing times.

TESTIMONY AND PRODUCTION

- 42.51 Discovery.
- 42.52 Compelling testimony and production.
- 42.53 Taking testimony.
- 42.54 Protective order.
- 42.55 Confidential information in a petition.
- 42.56 Expungement of confidential information.
- 42.61 Admissibility.
- 42.62 Applicability of the Federal rules of evidence.
- 42.63 Form of evidence.
- 42.64 Objection; motion to exclude; motion in limine.
- 42.65 Expert testimony; tests and data.

ORAL ARGUMENT, DECISION, AND SETTLEMENT

- 42.70 Oral argument.
- 42.71 Decision on petitions or motions.
- 42.72 Termination of trial.
- 42.73 Judgment.
- 42.74 Settlement.

CERTIFICATE

42.80 Certificate.

Subpart B-Inter Partes Review

GENERAL

- 42.100 Procedure; pendency.
- 42.101 Who may petition for *inter partes* review.
- 42.102 Time for filing.
- 42.103 Inter partes review fee.
- 42.104 Content of petition. 42.105 Service of petition.
- 42.105 Service of petition 42.106 Filing date.
- 2.100 Filling date.
 2.107 Preliminary response to petition.

INSTITUTING Inter Partes REVIEW

42.108 Institution of inter partes review.

AFTER INSTITUTION OF Inter Partes REVIEW

- 42.120 Patent owner response.
- 42.121 Amendment of the patent.
- 42.122 Multiple proceedings and Joinder.
- 42.123 Filing of supplemental information.